David Silver



Outline

1 Introduction

2 Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

- 3 Integrated Architectures
- 4 Simulation-Based Search

- Introduction

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

- 3 Integrated Architectures
- 4 Simulation-Based Search

- Introduction

Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

- Last lecture: learn policy directly from experience
- Previous lectures: learn value function directly from experience

- This lecture: learn model directly from experience
- and use planning to construct a value function or policy
- Integrate learning and planning into a single architecture

Introduction

Model-Based and Model-Free RL

- Model-Free RL
 - No model
 - Learn value function (and/or policy) from experience

Introduction

Model-Based and Model-Free RL

- Model-Free RL
 - No model
 - Learn value function (and/or policy) from experience

- Model-Based RL
 - Learn a model from experience
 - Plan value function (and/or policy) from model

Introduction

Model-Free RL



Introduction

Model-Based RL



└─ Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Outline



2 Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

3 Integrated Architectures





Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Model-Based RL



Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Advantages of Model-Based RL

Advantages:

Can efficiently learn model by supervised learning methods

Can reason about model uncertainty

Disadvantages:

- First learn a model, then construct a value function
 - \Rightarrow two sources of approximation error

Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning Model-Based Reinforcement Learning Learning a Model

What is a Model?

- A model \mathcal{M} is a representation of an MDP $\langle S, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$, parametrized by η
- \blacksquare We will assume state space ${\mathcal S}$ and action space ${\mathcal A}$ are known
- So a model $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta} \rangle$ represents state transitions $\mathcal{P}_{\eta} \approx \mathcal{P}$ and rewards $\mathcal{R}_{\eta} \approx \mathcal{R}$

$$egin{aligned} S_{t+1} &\sim \mathcal{P}_\eta(S_{t+1} \mid S_t, A_t) \ R_{t+1} &= \mathcal{R}_\eta(R_{t+1} \mid S_t, A_t) \end{aligned}$$

 Typically assume conditional independence between state transitions and rewards

$$\mathbb{P}[S_{t+1}, R_{t+1} | S_t, A_t] = \mathbb{P}[S_{t+1} | S_t, A_t] \mathbb{P}[R_{t+1} | S_t, A_t]$$

Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning Model-Based Reinforcement Learning Learning a Model

Model Learning

- Goal: estimate model \mathcal{M}_{η} from experience $\{S_1, A_1, R_2, ..., S_T\}$
- This is a supervised learning problem

$$S_1, A_1 \rightarrow R_2, S_2$$

 $S_2, A_2 \rightarrow R_3, S_3$

$$S_{T-1}, A_{T-1} \rightarrow R_T, S_T$$

÷

- Learning $s, a \rightarrow r$ is a *regression* problem
- Learning $s, a \rightarrow s'$ is a *density estimation* problem
- Pick loss function, e.g. mean-squared error, KL divergence, ...
- \blacksquare Find parameters η that minimise empirical loss

└─ Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Learning a Model

Examples of Models

- Table Lookup Model
- Linear Expectation Model
- Linear Gaussian Model
- Gaussian Process Model
- Deep Belief Network Model

...

Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Learning a Model

Table Lookup Model

• Model is an explicit MDP, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}, \hat{\mathcal{R}}$

• Count visits N(s, a) to each state action pair

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{a}_{s,s'} = \frac{1}{N(s,a)} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{1}(S_t, A_t, S_{t+1} = s, a, s')$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{a}_{s} = \frac{1}{N(s,a)} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{1}(S_t, A_t = s, a) R_t$$

- Alternatively
 - At each time-step t, record experience tuple $\langle S_t, A_t, R_{t+1}, S_{t+1} \rangle$
 - \blacksquare To sample model, randomly pick tuple matching $\langle s,a,\cdot,\cdot\rangle$

Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning Model-Based Reinforcement Learning Learning a Model

AB Example

Two states A, B; no discounting; 8 episodes of experience A, 0, B, 0 **B**, 1 **B**, 1 75% **B**, 1 r = 0**B**, 1 **B**, 1 **B**, 1 **B**, 0

We have constructed a table lookup model from the experience

└─ Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Planning with a Model

Planning with a Model

- Given a model $\mathcal{M}_{\eta} = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta} \rangle$
- Solve the MDP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta} \rangle$
- Using favourite planning algorithm

- Value iteration
- Policy iteration
- Tree search
- ...

└─ Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Planning with a Model

Sample-Based Planning

- A simple but powerful approach to planning
- Use the model only to generate samples
- Sample experience from model

$$S_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{P}_{\eta}(S_{t+1} \mid S_t, A_t)$$
$$R_{t+1} = \mathcal{R}_{\eta}(R_{t+1} \mid S_t, A_t)$$

- Apply model-free RL to samples, e.g.:
 - Monte-Carlo control
 - Sarsa
 - Q-learning

Sample-based planning methods are often more efficient

└─ Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Planning with a Model

Back to the AB Example

Construct a table-lookup model from real experience

Apply model-free RL to sampled experience



e.g. Monte-Carlo learning: V(A) = 1, V(B) = 0.75

Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Planning with a Model

Planning with an Inaccurate Model

- Given an imperfect model $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta} \rangle \neq \langle \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$
- Performance of model-based RL is limited to optimal policy for approximate MDP $\langle S, A, P_{\eta}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta} \rangle$
- i.e. Model-based RL is only as good as the estimated model
- When the model is inaccurate, planning process will compute a suboptimal policy

- Solution 1: when model is wrong, use model-free RL
- Solution 2: reason explicitly about model uncertainty

Integrated Architectures

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

3 Integrated Architectures



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Real and Simulated Experience

We consider two sources of experience

Real experience Sampled from environment (true MDP)

$$S' \sim \mathcal{P}^{a}_{s,s'}$$

 $R = \mathcal{R}^{a}_{s}$

Simulated experience Sampled from model (approximate MDP)

$$S' \sim \mathcal{P}_{\eta}(S' \mid S, A)$$

 $R = \mathcal{R}_{\eta}(R \mid S, A)$

Integrated Architectures

Dyna

Integrating Learning and Planning

Model-Free RL

- No model
- Learn value function (and/or policy) from real experience

Integrated Architectures

Dyna

Integrating Learning and Planning

Model-Free RL

No model

Learn value function (and/or policy) from real experience

Model-Based RL (using Sample-Based Planning)

- Learn a model from real experience
- Plan value function (and/or policy) from simulated experience

Integrated Architectures

Dyna

Integrating Learning and Planning

Model-Free RL

No model

Learn value function (and/or policy) from real experience

- Model-Based RL (using Sample-Based Planning)
 - Learn a model from real experience
 - Plan value function (and/or policy) from simulated experience

Dyna

- Learn a model from real experience
- Learn and plan value function (and/or policy) from real and simulated experience

Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning
Integrated Architectures
Loyna

Dyna Architecture



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Dyna

Dyna-Q Algorithm

Initialize Q(s, a) and Model(s, a) for all $s \in S$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ Do forever:

(a) $S \leftarrow \text{current (nonterminal) state}$

(b)
$$A \leftarrow \varepsilon$$
-greedy (S, Q)

- (c) Execute action A; observe resultant reward, R, and state, S'
- (d) $Q(S, A) \leftarrow Q(S, A) + \alpha [R + \gamma \max_{a} Q(S', a) Q(S, A)]$
- (e) $Model(S, A) \leftarrow R, S'$ (assuming deterministic environment)
- (f) Repeat n times:

 $S \gets \text{random previously observed state}$

 $A \leftarrow$ random action previously taken in S

 $R, S' \leftarrow Model(S, A)$

 $Q(S, A) \leftarrow Q(S, A) + \alpha \big[R + \gamma \max_{a} Q(S', a) - Q(S, A) \big]$

Integrated Architectures

Dyna

Dyna-Q on a Simple Maze



Integrated Architectures

Dyna

Dyna-Q with an Inaccurate Model

The changed environment is harder



Integrated Architectures

Dyna

Dyna-Q with an Inaccurate Model (2)

The changed environment is easier



Simulation-Based Search

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

- 3 Integrated Architectures
- 4 Simulation-Based Search

Simulation-Based Search

Forward Search

- Forward search algorithms select the best action by lookahead
- They build a search tree with the current state *s_t* at the root
- Using a model of the MDP to look ahead



No need to solve whole MDP, just sub-MDP starting from now

Simulation-Based Search

Simulation-Based Search

- Forward search paradigm using sample-based planning
- Simulate episodes of experience from now with the model
- Apply model-free RL to simulated episodes



Simulation-Based Search

Simulation-Based Search (2)

Simulate episodes of experience from now with the model

$$\{s_t^k, A_t^k, R_{t+1}^k, ..., S_T^k\}_{k=1}^K \sim \mathcal{M}_{\nu}$$

Apply model-free RL to simulated episodes

- $\blacksquare \ \ Monte-Carlo \ \ control \rightarrow \ \ Monte-Carlo \ \ search$
- Sarsa \rightarrow TD search

Simulation-Based Search

Monte-Carlo Search

Simple Monte-Carlo Search

- \blacksquare Given a model \mathcal{M}_{ν} and a simulation policy π
- For each action $a \in \mathcal{A}$
 - Simulate K episodes from current (real) state s_t

$$\{\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}, R_{t+1}^{k}, S_{t+1}^{k}, A_{t+1}^{k}, ..., S_{T}^{k}\}_{k=1}^{K} \sim \mathcal{M}_{\nu}, \pi$$

Evaluate actions by mean return (Monte-Carlo evaluation)

$$Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}) = rac{1}{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{K}} G_t \stackrel{P}{
ightarrow} q_{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a})$$

Select current (real) action with maximum value

$$a_t = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s_t, a)$$

Simulation-Based Search

Monte-Carlo Search

Monte-Carlo Tree Search (Evaluation)

- Given a model \mathcal{M}_{ν}
- Simulate K episodes from current state s_t using current simulation policy π

$$\{s_t, A_t^k, R_{t+1}^k, S_{t+1}^k, ..., S_T^k\}_{k=1}^K \sim \mathcal{M}_{\nu}, \pi$$

Build a search tree containing visited states and actions
Evaluate states Q(s, a) by mean return of episodes from s, a

$$Q(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{a}) = \frac{1}{N(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{a})} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{u=t}^{T} \mathbf{1}(S_u, A_u = \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{a}) G_u \stackrel{P}{\to} q_{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{a})$$

 After search is finished, select current (real) action with maximum value in search tree

$$a_t = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s_t, a)$$

Simulation-Based Search

Monte-Carlo Search

Monte-Carlo Tree Search (Simulation)

- In MCTS, the simulation policy π improves
- Each simulation consists of two phases (in-tree, out-of-tree)
 - **Tree policy** (improves): pick actions to maximise Q(S, A)
 - Default policy (fixed): pick actions randomly
- Repeat (each simulation)
 - **Evaluate** states Q(S, A) by Monte-Carlo evaluation
 - Improve tree policy, e.g. by ϵ greedy(Q)
- Monte-Carlo control applied to simulated experience
- Converges on the optimal search tree, $Q(S,A) o q_*(S,A)$

Simulation-Based Search

└─MCTS in Go

Case Study: the Game of Go

- The ancient oriental game of Go is 2500 years old
- Considered to be the hardest classic board game
- Considered a grand challenge task for AI (John McCarthy)
- Traditional game-tree search has failed in Go



(日)、

Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning Simulation-Based Search MCTS in Go

Rules of Go

- Usually played on 19x19, also 13x13 or 9x9 board
- Simple rules, complex strategy
- Black and white place down stones alternately
- Surrounded stones are captured and removed
- The player with more territory wins the game





Lecture 8: Integrating Learning and Planning — Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Position Evaluation in Go

How good is a position s?

Reward function (undiscounted):

 $R_t = 0 \text{ for all non-terminal steps } t < T$ $R_T = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Black wins} \\ 0 & \text{if White wins} \end{cases}$

Policy π = (π_B, π_W) selects moves for both players
Value function (how good is position s):

$$egin{aligned} &v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[R_{\mathcal{T}} \mid S=s
ight] = \mathbb{P}\left[ext{Black wins} \mid S=s
ight] \ &v_{*}(s) = \max_{\pi_{B}}\min_{\pi_{W}}v_{\pi}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Simulation-Based Search

└─MCTS in Go

Monte-Carlo Evaluation in Go

V(s) = 2/4 = 0.5



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (1)



Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (2)



Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (3)



Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (4)



Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (5)



Simulation-Based Search

└─MCTS in Go

Advantages of MC Tree Search

- Highly selective best-first search
- Evaluates states dynamically (unlike e.g. DP)
- Uses sampling to break curse of dimensionality
- Works for "black-box" models (only requires samples)

Computationally efficient, anytime, parallelisable

Simulation-Based Search

MCTS in Go

Example: MC Tree Search in Computer Go



୍ରର୍ବ

Simulation-Based Search

L Temporal-Difference Search

Temporal-Difference Search

- Simulation-based search
- Using TD instead of MC (bootstrapping)
- MC tree search applies MC control to sub-MDP from now

TD search applies Sarsa to sub-MDP from now

Simulation-Based Search

L Temporal-Difference Search

MC vs. TD search

For model-free reinforcement learning, bootstrapping is helpful

TD learning reduces variance but increases bias
TD learning is usually more efficient than MC
TD(λ) can be much more efficient than MC
For simulation-based search, bootstrapping is also helpful
TD search reduces variance but increases bias
TD search is usually more efficient than MC search
TD(λ) search can be much more efficient than MC search

Simulation-Based Search

L Temporal-Difference Search

TD Search

- Simulate episodes from the current (real) state s_t
- Estimate action-value function Q(s, a)
- For each step of simulation, update action-values by Sarsa

$$\Delta Q(S,A) = \alpha(R + \gamma Q(S',A') - Q(S,A))$$

Select actions based on action-values Q(s, a)

■ e.g. *ϵ*-greedy

• May also use function approximation for Q

Simulation-Based Search

L Temporal-Difference Search

Dyna-2

In Dyna-2, the agent stores two sets of feature weights

- Long-term memory
- Short-term (working) memory
- Long-term memory is updated from real experience using TD learning
 - General domain knowledge that applies to any episode
- Short-term memory is updated from simulated experience using TD search
 - Specific local knowledge about the current situation
- Over value function is sum of long and short-term memories

Simulation-Based Search

- Temporal-Difference Search

Results of TD search in Go



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Simulation-Based Search

L Temporal-Difference Search

Questions?